arXiv:1008.3520v2 [math.FA] 6 Dec 2010

UNIVERSITY OF KAISERSLAUTERN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS AND STOCHASTIC ANALYSIS GROUP

Core property of smooth contractive embeddable functions for an elliptic differential operator

Diploma Thesis
by
Benedict Baur

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. M. Grothaus

March, 2010

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

2 Dirichlet problem for elliptic differential operators **4**
2.1 Maximum principles 4
2.2 Estimate in the interior 5
2.3 Perron method, Existence of Solutions 7

3 Elliptic operators with $C^{2,\alpha}$-coefficients in $C^{4,\alpha}$ smooth domains **12**

4 Appendix **20**
4.1 Tools from elementary Analysis 20
4.2 Functional analytic tools 21
4.3 Operator semigroup theory 22
4.4 Tools from multidimensional Analysis 23

5 List of symbols **25**

1 Introduction

This thesis is concerned with two assumptions (see 1.1 and 1.2 below) made in [4], these lead to a representation of a solution to the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem for elliptic differential operators. The representation consists of an iterated sequence of integrals over elementary functions in terms of the coefficients of the differential operator. Throughout this thesis let n be the dimension of the space and α some positive number less or equal to 1. Let Ω be a bounded region, a_{ij}, b_i, $c \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$. Assume that:

1. The matrices $(a_{ij}(x))$ are uniformly elliptic, i.e. there exists an $\lambda > 0$ such that $\sum\limits_{i,j} a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \lambda \|\xi\|_2^2$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,$\lambda > 0$
2. $|a_{ij}(x)| \leq \Lambda$, $|b_i(x)| \leq \Lambda$,$|c(x)| \leq \Lambda$ for some $\Lambda < \infty$

For $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ define

$$Lu := \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j}u + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \partial_{x_i}u + cu \tag{1.1}$$

We consider the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem in Ω. For $u_0 \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ find

$$u : [0,\infty) \times \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$$

with $u(t,\cdot) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ and $u(\cdot) \in C^1([0,\infty), C^0(\overline{\Omega}))$ fulfilling:

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t,x) &= Lu \ \forall \ t \geq 0 \\ u(0,\cdot) &= u_0 \\ u(t,x) &= 0 \ , x \ \in \ \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

In order to show the existence of a solution and to verify the representation of this solution two assumptions were made in [4]: Set

$$D(L) = \{u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \mid u = 0, \ Lu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\} \tag{1.3}$$

Assumption 1.1 The closure of $(L, D(L))$ generates a strongly continuous operator semigroup on $C_0^0(\overline{\Omega})$, which solves (1.2) for $u_0 \in D(L)$.

Assumption 1.2 The set $\{u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \mid u = 0, \ Lu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ can be continuously and linearly embedded into $C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|u\|_{C_c^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u\|_{C_0^0(\Omega)}$

In other words the function space $\{u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \mid u = 0, \ Lu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ is a core for the generator of the resolving semigroup (T_t) of (1.2). These functions can be embedded linearly into $C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the supremum is not increased. For more details we refer the reader to [4]. It is important to note, that it is not sufficient for the extension operator to be bounded, it has to be a contraction. This thesis is organised as follows: In the first section we investigate the regularity theory for elliptic partial differential equations, this theory is the basis for solving the resolvent equation associated to (1.2). In the second section we proof the assumptions for domains with $C^{4,\alpha}$ smooth boundaries and coefficients in $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$. These additional assumptions are necessary because the differential operator is transformed using the diffeomorphism which flattens out the boundary. The transformed differential operator posesses drift terms containing the second derivatives of this diffeomorphism. However to construct the embedding operator these coefficients must be in $C^{2,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$.

2 Dirichlet problem for elliptic differential operators

In this section we consider the (stationary) Dirichlet problem for the elliptic differential operator as defined in (1.1) or the associated resolvent equation respectively. The solution theory is based on maximum principles, a priori estimates on the $C^{2,\alpha}$-norm and the solvability on balls. The results stated here are based on the corresponding results for the Laplace-operator, which can be found in [3] in Chapter 1 and 2. In the following we present the necessary lemmas and theorems, but give not all proofs, they can be found in [3]. Another presentation of the theory can be found in [8].

Definition 2.1 (Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations) Let L be the differential operator (1.1). For a given $f \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$, $g \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ find $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} Lu &= f \text{ in } \Omega \\ u &= g \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

2.1 Maximum principles

Theorem 2.2 (Weak Maximum principle for c=0)
Let L be the differential operator (1.1) *with $c = 0$, $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$, then the following statements hold:*

1. *If $Lu \geq 0$ in Ω, then $\sup\limits_{\Omega} u = \sup\limits_{\partial\Omega} u$*
2. *If $Lu \leq 0$ in Ω, then $\inf\limits_{\Omega} u = \inf\limits_{\partial\Omega} u$*
3. *If $Lu = 0$ in Ω, then $\sup\limits_{\Omega} |u| = \sup\limits_{\partial\Omega} |u|$*

The proof of this theorem can be found in [3] on page 31, Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 2.3 Weak maximum principle for $c \leq 0$
Let L be the differential operator (1.1) with $c \leq 0$, $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$, then the following statements hold:

1. If $Lu \geq 0$ in Ω then $\sup\limits_{\Omega} u \leq \sup\limits_{\partial\Omega} u^+$
2. If $Lu \leq 0$ in Ω then $\inf\limits_{\Omega} u \geq -\sup\limits_{\partial\Omega} u^-$
3. If $Lu = 0$ in Ω then $\sup\limits_{\Omega} |u| = \sup\limits_{\partial\Omega} |u|$

The proof of the weak maximum principle can be found in [3] on page 32, Corollary 3.2.

Corollary 2.4 Uniqueness of a solution to the Dirichlet problem
There exists at most one solution in $C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$ to (2.2)

Theorem 2.5 (Strong maximum principle)
Let L be the differential operator (1.1) *with $c \leq 0$, $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$ with $Lu = 0$. Then u cannot attain a non-negative maximum, unless it is constant.*

Compare Theorem 3.5, page 34 in [3]. The condition $\frac{c}{\lambda} < \infty$ is provided by our assumption that c is bounded and the uniform ellipticity. The maximum principles and their corollaries come from the fact, that a $C^2(\Omega)$-smooth function has a negative definite Hesse-Matrix at a interior maximum, while the coefficient matrix of the second order part of the differential operator is positive definite. Therefore it is not suprising that the differential operator is dissipative, provided $c \leq 0$.

Lemma 2.6 Operator dissipative
If $c \leq 0$ then the operator $(L, D(L))$ of (1.1) with $D(L)$ as in (1.3) is dissipative. Otherwise there exists an $\omega > 0$ such that the operator $L - \omega$ is dissipative.

Proof. Case 1: $c \leq 0$ Recall the definition of dissipative: For each $u \in D(L)$ there exists an $u' \in F(u)$ such that $\langle u', Lu \rangle \leq 0$. For $u \equiv 0$ the condition is trivial. If u is not constant zero, then by the boundary conditions $|u|$ attains its maximum in the interior. Assume first that the maximum is attained for a positive value at a point $x_0 \in \Omega$. Choose $u' := \delta_{x_0}(\cdot)$. Then $\langle u', u \rangle = \langle \delta_{x_0}(\cdot), u \rangle = \delta_{x_0}(u) = u(x_0) = \|u\|$. Note that at a maximum $\nabla u(x_0) = 0$, $tr(A(Hu))(x_0) \leq 0$ since A is positive definit and H negative definit.

$$\langle u', Lu \rangle = (tr(A(Hu)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \partial_{x_i} u + cu)(x_0) \leq cu \leq 0$$

If the maximum $\|u\|$ is attained for $u < 0$ consider $-u$. Then $\langle -\delta_{x_0}, Lu \rangle = \langle \delta_{x_0}, L(-u) \rangle \leq 0$
Case 2: c arbitrary: Since c is bounded we have $\omega := \sup\limits_{x \in \Omega} c < \infty$. Apply the proof of case 1 to $L' = L - \omega$. □

The property dissipative can be viewn as the local analogon of the maximum principle.

Theorem 2.7 (Interior Maximum estimate)
Let L be the differential operator (1.1) *with* $c \leq 0$, $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$, $f \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$. *If* $Lu = f$ *in a bounded domain* Ω *then:*

$$\sup_{\Omega} |u| \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega} |u| + \frac{C}{\lambda} \|f\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})}$$

Moreover the constant C depends monotone increasing on the bound of the coefficients Λ and decreasing on the ellipticity constant λ.

The proof is based on the maximum principle and the construction of a certain dominating function. This will be done in 2.20 below, for the monotone dependence see the remark after the proof of 2.20.

2.2 Estimate in the interior

In this subsection we present certain a-priori estimates to a solution. We require $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ and discuss the existence of solutions in $C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega)$ or $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$. Therefore we first cite a priori estimates on the solution in those spaces. Based on these estimates and the maximum principle we derive the existence and uniqueness of solutions in the next section. The interior estimates depend on the distance to the boundary. Therefore we introduce the following distance-weighted norms: Let $0 < \beta$, $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$, $T \subset \partial\Omega$.

1. $\|u\|^{(\beta)}_{C^0(\Omega_0)} := \sup\limits_{x \in \Omega_0} (d(x, \partial\Omega_0))^{\beta} |u(x)|$
2. $\|u\|^{(\beta)}_{C^0(\Omega_0 \cup T)} := \sup\limits_{x \in \Omega_0 \cup T} (d(x, \partial\Omega_0 \setminus T))^{\beta} |u(x)|$

The weighted norms $\|u\|^{(\beta)}_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_0)}$ are defined analougsly with $|u(x)|$ replaced by the lim sup of the α-differential quotient. Define

$$\|u\|'_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_0)} = \|u\|^{(0)}_{C^0(\Omega_0)} + \|Du\|^{(1)}_{C^0(\Omega_0)} + \|D^2u\|^{(2)}_{C^0(\Omega_0)} + \|D^2u\|^{(2+\alpha)}_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_0)}$$

For a boundary portion T define:

$$\|u\|'_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_0 \cup T)} = \|u\|^{(0)}_{C^0(\Omega_0 \cup T)} + \|Du\|^{(1)}_{C^0(\Omega_0 \cup T)} + \|D^2u\|^{(2)}_{C^0(\Omega_0 \cup T)} + \|D^2u\|^{(2+\alpha)}_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_0 \cup T)}$$

I.e. as nearer a point x is to the boundary the weaker the value at this point counts. Further remarks to this norm can be found in [3] on page 60.

Lemma 2.8 Estimate in the interior - Schauder Estimate
If $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega)$ with $Lu = f$. Then

$$\|u\|'_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega)} \leq C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha)(\|u\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} + \|f\|^{(2)}_{C^0(\Omega)} + \|f\|^{(2+\alpha)}_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)}) \tag{2.2}$$

If Ω has a boundary portion T on $\{x_n = 0\}$ and $u = 0$ on T then:

$$\|u\|'_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega \cup T)} \leq C(\|u\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} + \|f\|^{(2)}_{C^0(\Omega)} + \|f\|^{(2+\alpha)}_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)}) \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover the constant depends monotone decreasing on λ and monotone increasing on Λ.

Proof. The proof of the first estimate can be found in [3], Theorem 6.2 on page 85. It is based on an estimate for operators with constant coefficient matrix and no lower-order terms, which can be found in [3], Lemma 6.1, page 83. The monotone depending of the constant C is contained in the proof of the estimate for a constant coefficient matrix. It depends on the norm of the diffeomorphism which transforms the constant coefficient matrix to the idendity matrix. The analouge estimate with boundary portion can be found in [3], Lemma 6.4 , page 90. ☐

Remark 2.9 Since $\|f\|^{(2)}_{C^0(\Omega)} + \|f\|^{(2+\alpha)}_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}$ the right hand side of (2.2) can be replaced by $\|u\|_{C^0(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}$. The same for (2.3).

Corollary 2.10 Estimate on precompact set
Let u as in 2.8, $\Omega_0 \subset\subset \Omega$, then

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_0)} \leq C(\|u\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} + \|f\|^{(2+\alpha)}_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)})$$

If Ω has a boundary part on $\{x_n = 0\}$. Set $T_0 = \partial\Omega \cap \{x_n = 0\}$. Let $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ with $T' = \partial\Omega_0 \cap T_0$ and $T' \subset T \subset T_0$ with $\operatorname{dist}(\Omega_0, \partial\Omega \setminus T) > 0$ then:

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_0 \cup T')} \leq C(\|u\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} + \|f\|^{(2+\alpha)}_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)})$$

This follows by $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \geq d_0 > 0$ or $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega \setminus T) \geq d_0 > 0$ for $x \in \Omega_0$ or $x \in \Omega_0 \cup T'$ respectively.

Theorem 2.11 (Estimate on smooth domains)
If $\partial\Omega$ is $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth and $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $Lu = f$ in Ω then:

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C(\Omega, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha)(\|u\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} + \|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)})$$

The proof can be found in [3] page 93, Theorem 6.6.

For locally smooth boundaries we have the following local estimate:

Lemma 2.12 Local estimate on smooth boundary portion
Let Ω be a domain with $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth open (w.r.t the trace topology) boundary portion T, $g \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega \cup T)$ with $Lu = f$ in Ω, $u = g$ on T. Then for each x_0 and each ball $B := B_\delta(x_0)$ with $\delta < dist(x_0, \partial\Omega \setminus T)$:

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B\cap\overline{\Omega})} \leq C(\|u\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} + \|g\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} + \|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)})$$

Remark 2.13 It is important to note, that the norm estimate depends on the $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$-norm of the boundary function at the boundary portion T only and not on the boundary values of the rest of the boundary. Note that in 2.12 the boundary function is assumed to be $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth in the whole domain. For $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth boundaries there exists always a $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth continuation of a function which is $C^{2,\alpha}$ on the boundary to a $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth function in the interior (see 4.5).

2.3 Perron method, Existence of Solutions

Theorem 2.14 (Solution on ball)
Let $\Omega = B_R(0)$ for some $R > 0$, $g \in C^{2,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$, $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ then the problem:

$$\begin{aligned} Lu &= f \text{ in } \Omega \\ u &= g \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

has a unique solution in $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$.

Proof. By 4.5 the function g can be continued to a $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth function (also denoted by) g in the interior.

Now substitue u by $u - g$. Then the problem (2.4) is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} Lu &= f - Lg \text{ in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

i.e we consider the problem for zero boundary values. The solution to 2.4 is then given by $u_0 = u + g$, indeed $Lu_0 = Lu + Lg = (f - Lg) + Lg = f$. By the uniqueness of the solution of (2.4) u_0 is the only solution and therefore independent of the concrete choice of the continuation of g.

Consider the two Banach spaces

$$X_1 = \{u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \mid u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$$

$$X_2 = \{u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \mid u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$$

Then the operator Δ is bijective from $X_1 \to X_2$ by Corollary 4.14, page 66 in [3]. Consider the family of operators $L_t = tL + (1-t)\Delta$. Then the coefficient matrix is given by $a^t_{ij}(x) = (1-t)\delta_{ij} + ta_{ij}(x)$. Thus:

$$\sum_i \sum_j a^t_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j = (1-t)\|\xi\|^2 + t\lambda\|\xi\|^2 > min\{1,\lambda\}\|\xi\|^2$$

Thus the bound of the coefficients of L_t is given by $max\{1,\Lambda\}$. Choosing $\tilde{\lambda} = min\{1,\lambda\}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda} = max\{1,\Lambda\}$ there exists by the estimate 2.11 and the monotone dependence of the constant in (2.8) a constant C_1, such that for every $t \in [0,1]$ and $u_t \in X_1$,$f \in X_2$ with $L_t u_t = f$ in Ω:

$$\|u_t\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C_1(\tilde{\lambda},\tilde{\Lambda})(\|u_t\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} + \|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})})$$

Furthermore by 2.7 there exists a constant C_2 with

$$\|u_t\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} \leq \frac{C_2}{\tilde{\lambda}}\|f\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})}$$

thus there exists one constant $C_3 < \infty$ such that for all $t \in [0,1]$:

$$\|u_t\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C_3\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}$$

i.e. $\|L_t u\|_{X_2} = \|f\|_{X_2} \geq \frac{1}{C3}\|u_t\|_{X_1}$. Thus the family of operators L_t is uniformly invertible. Since $L_0 = \Delta$ is surjective it follows by the Method of continuity (4.9), that $L_1 = L$ is surjective. ☐

Theorem 2.15 (Solution on a smooth boundary portion for Balls)
Let $B = B_R(0)$. Let $g \in C^0(\partial B) \cap C^{2,\alpha}(T)$ for some open (w.r.t to the trace topology) possibly empty $T \subset \partial B$. Then there exists a unique solution of (2.2) in $C^0(\overline{B}) \cap C^{2,\alpha}(B \cup T)$.

Proof. Define $B_2 = B_{2R}(0)$. We continue the function by a radial extension. Choose a cutoff η for $[R - \frac{R}{4}, R + \frac{R}{4}]$ in $[R - \frac{R}{2}, R + \frac{R}{2}]$. Define $g_1(x) := \eta(|x|)g(R\frac{x}{|x|})$.
Denote by $\tilde{T} = [0, 2R]T = \{x \mid R\frac{x}{|x|} \in T,\ |x| \leq 2R\}$. Then $g_1 \in C^0(B_2) \cup C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{T})$. Choose a standard dirac sequence $\varphi_k : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support in $B_{\frac{1}{k}}$ and define $g_k := \varphi_k * g_1$. Therefore:

$$g_k \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{B})$$

$$g_k \overset{k\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} g_1 \text{w.r.t } \|\cdot\|_{C(B)}$$

By the previous theorem 2.14 there exist $u_k \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{B})$ with:

$$Lu_k = f \text{ in } B \tag{2.6}$$

$$u_k = g_k \text{ on } \partial B \tag{2.7}$$

By the 3rd estimate in 2.3 the convergence of the boundary values implies that the sequence $(u_k)_k$ is a Cauchy sequence w.r.t to the sup-Norm in $\overline{B}$. Hence there exists $u \in C^0(\overline{B})$ with $u_k \overset{k\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} u$ w.r.t $\|\cdot\|_{C^0(\overline{B})}$. Thus $u = g$ on ∂B. Since for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $Lu_m = Lu_n = f$, we have for an arbitrary $x_0 \in B$ and some ball $B'(x_0) \subset\subset B$ by the estimate for compact subsets (2.10)

$$\|u_m - u_n\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B')} \leq C(\|u_m - u_n\|_{C^0(\overline{B})} + \|Lu_m - Lu_n\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{B})})$$

Hence $(u_k)_k$ is a Cauchy sequence w.r.t $\|\cdot\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B')}$, which converges to u. Thus $Lu = f$ in B' and $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(B')$. Since x_0 was arbitrary we have $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega)$ and u solves (2.4).

Now let x_0 be any point in T. Since T is open there exists $\delta > 0$ with $B_\delta(x_0) \cap T \subset\subset T$ and $B_\delta(x_0) \subset\subset \tilde{T}$. Denote by $B_2 = B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(x_0) \cap B$, $B_1 = B_\delta(x_0) \cap B$, $T_2 := \partial B_2 \cap \partial B$. By 4.4 we have:

$$\|g_k\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{B_1})} \leq C\|g\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(T)}$$

with a constant independent of k. Now consider the Dirichlet problem in the domain B_1. The boundary of this domain consists of the part $\Gamma_1 = B_1 \cap T$ and $\Gamma_2 = \partial B_1 \cap \partial B_\delta(x_0)$. The $u_k \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{B})$ from 2.3 fulfil:

$$Lu_k = f \text{ in } B_1$$

$$u_k = g_k \text{ on } \Gamma_1$$

$$u_k = u_k \text{ on } \Gamma_2$$

The boundary portion T_2 of B_2 is compactly contained in T_1 therefore by the local estimate for compact boundary portions 2.12 it holds by the uniform bound for the $C^{2,\alpha}$-norms of g_k on B_1:

$$\sup_k \|u_k\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B_2 \cup T_2)} \leq C(\|u_k\|_{C^0(\overline{B_1})} + \|g_k\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{B_1})} + \|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{B_1})}) < \infty$$

Therefore the functions u_k are precompact in $C^{2,\alpha}(B_2 \cup T_2)$ and it exists a subsequence converging to u in $C^2(B_2 \cup T_2)$. The C^2-limit of a uniformly bounded $C^{2,\alpha}$-sequence is again $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth (see 4.6) hence $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_2 \cup T_2)$. Hence the solution is $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth in x_0 which was choosen arbitrarily from T. Thus $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(B \cup T)$. ☐

Definition 2.16 Subharmonic and Superharmonic functions
Let L be the elliptic differential operator (1.1), $f \in C^0(\Omega)$. A function $u \in C^0(\Omega)$ is called subharmonic w.r.t to (L, f) if for every ball $B \subset\subset \Omega$, v with $Lv = f$ in B and $u \leq v$ on ∂B it holds $u \leq v$ in B. A superharmonic function is defined in the analogous way. Shortly writing:

1. Subharmonic: $Lv = f$, $u \leq v$ on $\partial B \Rightarrow u \leq v$ in B

2. Superharmonic: $Lv = f$, $u \geq v$ on $\partial B \Rightarrow u \geq v$ in B

Definition 2.17 (Subfunction)
Let $g \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$, L,f as above. A function $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ is called subfunction to (L, f, g) if u is subharmonic and $u \leq g$ on $\partial\Omega$. S_g denotes the set of all subfunctions. A superfunction is defined analougsly.

Definition 2.18 Harmonic lifting
Let Ω be a bounded domain, $B = B_R(0) \subset \Omega$, $R > 0$, $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$,$f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, define the *harmonic lifting* $\overline{u}$ to (L, f, B) by

$$L\overline{u} = f \text{ in } B$$

$$\overline{u} = u \text{ on } \partial B$$

$$\overline{u} = u \text{ in } \Omega \setminus B$$

By 2.14 $\overline{u}$ exists and $\overline{u} \in C^0(\overline{B}) \cap C^{2,\alpha}(B)$. Furthermore for every ball B' with $B' \subset\subset B$ we have by the estimate 2.10:

$$\|\overline{u}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B')} \leq C(\|\overline{u}\|_{C^0(B)} + \|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(B)})$$

Lemma 2.19 Super- and subsolutions
Let Ω be a bounded domain, L be the elliptic differential operator (1.1), $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$, then the following statements hold for super- and subsolutions to (L, f).

1. A function $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ is a subsolution (supersolution) iff $Lu \geq f$ $(Lu \leq f)$.
2. If u is subsolution and v a supersolution with $u \leq v$ on $\partial\Omega$ then either $u < v$ or $u = v$ in Ω.
3. If u is a subsolution then for every Ball B with $\overline{B} \subset \Omega$ the corresponding harmonic lifting $\overline{u}$ is also a subsolution and $\overline{u} \geq u$.
4. If u_1,u_2 are subsolutions then max $\{u_1, u_2\}$ is also a subsolution.

Remarks on the proof of this lemma can be found in [3] on page 97.

Lemma 2.20 Existence of a super- and subsolution
Let Ω be a bounded domain (contained in the slab $\{0 \leq x_1 \leq d\}$, L the elliptic differential operator (1.1) with $c \leq 0$, g a bounded function on the boundary, $f \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$. Then a supersolution and subsolution respectively to (L, f, g) is given by:

1. $v^+ = \sup_{x\in\Omega}|g| + (e^{\gamma d} - e^{\gamma x_1})\frac{\|f\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})}}{\lambda}$
2. $v^- = -\sup_{x\in\Omega}|g| - (e^{\gamma d} - e^{\gamma x_1})\frac{\|f\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})}}{\lambda}$

for a constant $\gamma > 0$ depending on the coefficients of L and d. For a general bounded domain Ω the appropriate super- and subsolution is given by a translation.

Proof. Consider v^+. Since the second term is positive for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ it holds $v^+ \geq g$ on $\partial\Omega$. Set $f_1 = \frac{\|f\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})}}{\lambda}$, then for $\gamma > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} Lv^+ &= (a_{11}\partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_1}v^+ + b_1\partial_{x_1}v^+)f_1 + cv^+ \\ &\leq -(a_{11}\gamma^2 e^{\gamma x_1} - b_1\gamma e^{\gamma x_1})f_1 \\ &\leq (-\lambda\gamma + \Lambda)\gamma e^{\gamma x_1} f_1 \\ &= (-\gamma + \frac{\Lambda}{\lambda})\gamma e^{\gamma x_1}\|f\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} \end{aligned}$$

Choosing γ sufficiently large we have $Lv^+ \leq -\|f\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} \leq f$. Together with 2.3 we have, that Lv^+ is a supersolution. The proof for the subsolution works analougsly. $\square$

Remark 2.21 For a solution u with $Lu = f$ in Ω and $u = g$ on $\partial\Omega$ it holds:

$$v^- \leq u \leq v^+$$

This prooves the interior sup-norm estimate of a solution 2.7. The choice of γ determines $|(e^{\gamma d} - e^{\gamma x_1})|$ and therefore the constant C in the interior estimate 2.7. Analyzing the proof one can see, that if $\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda}$ gets smaller then the lowest possible choice of γ decreases and therefore also the constant C. This gives the monotone dependence of C, as mentioned in 2.7.

Theorem 2.22 (Interior solution)
Let L be the elliptic differential operator (1.1), $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$,$g \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$. S_g the set of all superfunctions to (L, f, g). The function

$$u(x) = \sup_{v \in S_g} v(x) \tag{2.8}$$

is in $C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega)$ and fulfills $Lu = f$ in Ω.

Proof. By 2.19, item (ii) each subfunction is dominated by a superfunction. Hence with the sub- and superfunctions from 2.20 we have:

$$v^-(x) \leq u(x) \leq v^+(x), \ x \in \Omega$$

thus $|u(x)| < \infty$.
Let $x_0 \in \overset{\circ}{\Omega}$. Choose two Balls $B'(x_0)$, $B(x_0)$ with

$$B'(x_0) \subset B(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$$

By definition of the sup there exists a sequence of subfunctions $v_k \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $v_k(x_0) \overset{k\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} u(x)$. Define $v'_k = \overline{max\{v_k, \inf_{x\in\Omega} u(x)\}}$, the harmonic lifting to (L, f, B). Then $v'_k \in C^{2,\alpha}(B)$. Since

$$|max\{v_k, \inf_{x\in\Omega} u(x)\}| \leq |u|$$

we have by the interior maximum estimate 2.7:

$$\|v'_k\|_{C^0(\overline{B})} \leq (\|u\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} + C\|f\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})})$$

Thus the v'_k are bounded.
Furthermore $v'_k \geq v_k$ in B, thus $v'_k(x_0) \overset{k\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} u(x_0)$. By 2.18 we have:

$$\|v'_k\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B')} \leq C(\|v'_k\|_{C^0(\overline{B})} + \|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{B})}) \leq C_2(\|u\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} + \|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}) \tag{2.9}$$

Thus the functions $(v'_k)_k$ are uniformly bounded in $C^{2,\alpha}(B')$. By the compactness of the embedding $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{B'}) \hookrightarrow C^2(\overline{B'})$ there is a subsequence converging in C^2 to some v'. This v' satisfies $Lv' = f$ in B' and $u(x_0) = v'(x_0)$. Since the sequence converges in C^2-norm and is uniformly bounded in $C^{2,\alpha}$ the limit v' is also in $C^{2,\alpha}(B')$ (by 4.6).
Assume now there exists a $x_1 \in B'$ such that: $v'(x_1) < u(x_1)$. Let $(w_k) \in S_g$ such that $w_k(x_1) \overset{k\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} u(x_1)$. Define $w'_k = \overline{max\{v', w_k\}}$ again by compactness we find a $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth w with $Lw = f$ and $w \geq v'$ in B. Hence $L(v' - w) = 0$ in B', $(v' - w) \leq 0$. But at x_0 we have $u(x_0) = v'(x_0) = w(x_0)$. By the strong maximum principle 2.5 the function $v - w$ must be constant, contradicting the assumption. Hence $u(x) = v'(x)$ in B' and therefore $u(x)$ is $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth in x_0 and satisfies $(Lu)(x_0) = f(x_0)$. ☐

The theorem above provides an interior solution for 2.1. The next step is now to show that under certain conditions on the boundary, the solution attains the boundary values g continuously. These conditions are especially fulfilled for C^2-smooth boundaries and cuboid domains.

Definition 2.23 Barrier
Let (L, f, g) as in 2.22. A net of functions $(w_\varepsilon^{+/-})_{\varepsilon>0} \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ is called a barrier to (L, f, g) for a point x_0, if:

1. $Lw_\varepsilon^+ \leq f$, $Lw_\varepsilon^- \geq f$ in Ω
2. $w_\varepsilon^+ \geq g$, $w_\varepsilon^- \leq g$ *on* $\partial\Omega$
3. $w_\varepsilon^{+/-}(x_0) \overset{\varepsilon\to 0}{\longrightarrow} g(x_0)$

Each w_ε^+ is a superfunction and each w_ε^- is a subfunction. Hence $w_\varepsilon^-(x) \leq u(x) \leq w_\varepsilon^+(x)$ therefore we get the following lemma:

Lemma 2.24 Continous attaining of boundary values
Let (L, f, g) as in 2.22. If x_0 has a barrier then for $u(x) = \sup\limits_{v\in S_g}$, $g \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ it holds $u(x) \to u(x_0)$ for $x \to x_0$, i.e. $u(x) \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$.

Proof. Since w_ε^+ and w_ε^- are superfunctions and subfunctions respectively we have for all $\varepsilon > 0$: $w_\varepsilon^-(x) \leq u(x) \leq w_\varepsilon^+(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$. Since $w_\varepsilon(x_0) \overset{\epsilon\to 0}{\longrightarrow} g(x_0)$ and w_ε are continuous we have $u(x) \to g(x_0)$ if $x \to x_0$. ☐

Lemma 2.25 External sphere condition
Let L be the elliptic differential operator (1.1), $g \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$, $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$. A point x_0 on $\partial\Omega$ satisfies the external sphere condition, if there exists a sphere S such that $S \cap \partial\Omega = \{x_0\}$. In this case the interior solution $u(x) = \sup\limits_{v\in S_g} v(x)$ is continuous at this point. In particular, if all points satisfy the external sphere condition then the solution is in $C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ and $B_R(y)$ such that: $B_R(y) \cap \partial\Omega = \{x_0\}$. By translation we may assume $y = 0$. Define $w(x) = \tau(R^{-\sigma} - r^{-\sigma})$, $r = |x|$. For $x \in \Omega$:

$$\begin{aligned} Lw(x) = \tau L(R^{-\sigma} - r^{-\sigma}) &= \tau\sigma r^{-(\sigma+4)}(-(\sigma+2)\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}x_ix_j + r^2(\sum_i a_{ii} + b_ix_i)) + \tau c(R^{-\sigma} - r^{-\sigma}) \\ &\leq \tau\sigma r^{-(\sigma+2)}(-(\sigma+2)\lambda + \sum_i a_{ii} + b_ix_i) \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows since $c(R^{-\sigma} - r^{-\sigma}) \leq 0$ and $a_{ij}x_ix_j > \lambda\|x\|^2 = \lambda r^2$.
Since Ω is bounded, we have $\sum\limits_i a_{ii} + b_ix_i = C_1 < \infty$. Thus for σ large enough the second factor is strictly negative, then choose τ large enough such that

$$Lw(x) \leq -1 \text{ in } \Omega$$

Furthermore $w(x_0) = 0$ and by the external sphere condition $dist(\Omega, 0) > |x_0| = r$, $w(x) > 0$ in $\Omega \setminus x_0$. Using this function we can now construct a net of barriers.
Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since g is continuous there exists a neighborhood U with $g(x) < g(x_0) + \varepsilon$.
Since $w(x) > \delta > 0$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega \setminus (\partial\Omega \cap U)$, there exists a k_ε such that:

$$w_\varepsilon^+(x) := g(x_0) + \varepsilon + k_\varepsilon w(x) \geq g(x)$$

Substitute $k'_\varepsilon = max(k_\varepsilon, \sup\limits_\Omega |f| + \Lambda|g(x_0)|)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} Lw_\varepsilon^+(x) &= c(g(x_0) + \varepsilon) + k_\varepsilon Lw(x) \\ &\leq c(x)g(x_0) - k'_\varepsilon \\ &\leq c(x)g(x_0) - |f(x)| - \Lambda|g(x_0)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq -|f(x)|$$

Hence w_ε^+ defines an upper barrier analoulsgly $w_\varepsilon^- = g(x_0) - \varepsilon - k_\varepsilon w(x)$.
By 2.24 the solution is continuous in x_0.

□

Theorem 2.26 (Smooth solution on smooth boundary portion)
If T is a $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth boundary portion and $g \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega \cup T)$ then the solution u (2.8) is in $C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega \cup T)$. If $\partial\Omega$ is $C^{2,\alpha}$ then the solution is in $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$.

Proof. See [3], Theorem 6.14, page 101. □

Summarizing we have seen that for $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth boundaries and $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ the Dirichlet problem 2.1 has a $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$-smooth solution. In cuboid domains we have smoothness of the solutions except for the boundary corners and edges, the solution is however continuous on the whole boundary.

3 Elliptic operators with $C^{2,\alpha}$-coefficients in $C^{4,\alpha}$ smooth domains

In this section we consider the assumptions 1.1, 1.2 on domains having $C^{4,\alpha}$ smooth boundary. For the differential operator (1.1) assume additionally that the coefficients $a_{ij}, b_i \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for $i, j \leq n$. The additional assumptions on the coefficients are made to construct the contractive extension operator, the semigroup exists also for coefficients in $C^{0,\alpha}$. The higher smoothness assumptions of the domain are made to ensure that also the transformed differential operator (see 3.3) has $C^{2,\alpha}$ smooth coefficients. Recall that the matrices a_{ij} are assumed to be uniformly elliptic with ellipticity constant $\lambda > 0$ and the coefficients are bounded by Λ. Throughout this chapter Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, x_n denotes the n-th coordinate of a point in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 3.1 (Density of $C_0^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ in $C_0^0(\overline{\Omega})$) The space $C_0^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ is dense in $C_0^0(\overline{\Omega})$.

Proof. We have the inclusion $C_c^\infty(\overline{\Omega}) \subset C_0^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \subset C_0^0(\overline{\Omega})$. By a generalisation of the Stone-Weierstrass-Theorem $C_c^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ is dense in $C_0^0(\overline{\Omega})$, see 4.8 □

Theorem 3.2 (Operator semigroup)
Let Ω be a bounded domain with $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth boundary. $(L, D(L))$ the differential operator (1.1), $D(L)$ as in (1.3). Then the closure of the operator $(L, D(L))$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup on $C_0^0(\overline{\Omega})$.

Proof. Set $\omega := \sup_{x\in\Omega} c(x)$. By 2.6 the operator $L - \omega$ is dissipative. Now consider for $\lambda > \omega$, $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ the resolvent equation multiplied with -1:

$$\begin{aligned} (L-\lambda)u &= f \text{ on } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

This defines an elliptic equation as in (2.2) with $\tilde{c} = c - \lambda \leq 0$. By 2.22 there exists a unique solution in $C_0^0(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega)$. By the smoothness of the boundary values and the boundary we have with 2.26 that $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$. Hence the image of $D(L) = \{u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \mid u = 0,\ Lu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ under $\lambda - L$ contains $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ and is therefore dense in $C_0^0(\overline{\Omega})$. By 4.19 the closure generates a strongly continuous semigroup. □

Thus assumption 1.1 is proved. Now we construct the continuation operator. The operator is constructed locally and uses the symmetries of the differential operator. First the boundary is straighten out, which transforms also the differential operator. Then the symmetries of the resulting operator together with the boundary conditions are used to continue the function by a squeezed reflection in a certain direction. The standard continuation operators, see e.g. [3], Lemma 6.3, p. 131 are not suitable, since they are in general not contractive. They continue a function outside a domain by a weighted sum of the function values inside the domain. These weights have different signs and the sup-norm of the continued function is in general strictly larger. The extension operator presented here overcomes this difficulty by doing a certain reflection, i.e. each function value outside corresponds to a function value inside, so the sup-norm stays the same. To guarantee the smoothness of the continued function it is however necessary to restrict this operator to $C^{2,\alpha}$-functions with the additional boundary conditions $u = 0$, $Lu = 0$ as in (1.1). Therefore the extension operator and the generator of the semigroup are closely related. The construction of the extension operator starts locally. In order to define the extension the boundary has to be flatten out and the differential operator has to be transformed in a suitable form. For this we need the notation of a transformed differential operator (3.3). The existence of a suitable transformation is given in Lemma (3.4). Then in Theorem (3.8) the local extension operator is constructed. Finally in Theorem (3.9) the global extension operator is constructed.

Definition 3.3 (The Pullbackoperator and the transformation of a differential operator) Let Ω_1, $\Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be two domains. $F : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ a $C^{4,\alpha}$-diffeomorphism. This diffeomorphism induces a continuous isomorphism the *Pullbackoperator*

$$\begin{aligned} T_F : C^{s,\sigma}(\Omega_2) &\to C^{s,\sigma}(\Omega_1), \text{ for } s+\sigma \le 4+\alpha \\ T_F(g) &= g \circ F \ , \ g \in C^{s,\sigma}(\Omega_2) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Let $L_1 : C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_1) \to C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_1)$ be a differential operator of second order as in (1.1) then we define the *transformed differential operator* $L_2 : C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_2) \to C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_2)$ by $L_2 = (T_F)^{-1} \circ (L_1 \circ T_F) : C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_2) \to C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_2)$. For $u_2 \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_2)$ with $u_1 = u_2 \circ F$, $y_0 = F(x_0)$, $x_0 \in \Omega_1$ we have: $(L_2 u_2)(y_0) = (L_1 u_1)(x_0)$. Using the chain rule, the derivatives up to second order w.r.t. to the original variable x can be written in terms of the derivatives w.r.t. to the new variables y. For $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ we have:

$$\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j} u_1 = \sum_{k,l=1}^{n} (\partial_{y_k}\partial_{y_l} u_2)(\partial_{x_i} F_k)(\partial_{x_j} F_l) + \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j} F_k \partial_{y_k} u_2.$$

So the drift coefficients of the transformed differential operator contain derivatives of second order of the diffeomorphism. If $F \in C^{4,\alpha}(\Omega_1)$ then $\tilde{b}_i \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_2)$. (See also [3] on page 91).

Hence there exist $\widetilde{a_{ij}}, \widetilde{b_i} \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_2)$, $\widetilde{c} \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_2)$ such that:

$$L_2 u_2 = \sum_{i,j} \widetilde{a_{ij}} \partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_j} u_2 + \sum_i \widetilde{b_i} \partial_{y_i} u_2 + \widetilde{c} u_2.$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega_1 \arrow[r, "F"] & \Omega_2 \\
C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_1) \arrow[d, "L_1"'] & C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_2) \arrow[l, "T_F"'] \arrow[d, "=: L_2"] \\
C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_1) \arrow[r, "T_F^{-1}"] & C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
x_0 \arrow[r, "F"] & y_0 \\
(u \circ F)(x_0) \arrow[d, "L_1"'] & u(y_0) \arrow[l, "T_F"'] \arrow[d, "=: L_2"] \\
(L_1 u \circ F)(x_0) \arrow[r, "T_F^{-1}"] & (L_2 u)(y_0)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Lemma 3.4 Transformation to an operator with no mixed derivatives
Let $R > 0$, $\Omega_1 = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, L_1 the differential operator (1.1)) acting on $C^{2,\alpha}(B_R^+(0))$ with coefficients

$$a_{ij}, b_i \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_R^{0,+}(0)) \text{ for } i, j \leq n$$

For a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denote by $x^{(n-1)}$ the vector of the first (n-1) components and by x_n the n-th component. Then there exists a $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth map $F : \Omega_1 \to F(\Omega_1)$ with the following properties:

1. For $x \in \Omega_1$ with $x_n > 0$ it holds $(F(x))_n > 0$
2. For $x \in \Omega_1$ with $x_n = 0$ it holds $(F(x))_n = 0$
3. There exists a $0 < R' \leq R$ such that the restriction $F^1 = F|_{B_{R'}(0)}$ is an $C^{2,\alpha}$-isomorphism, i.e. $F^1 : B_{R'}(0) \simeq F^1(B_{R'}(0))$
4. The transformed differential operator $L_2 = T_{F^1}^{-1} \circ L_1 \circ T_{F^1}$, has no crossterms of second order for points in $B_R^0(0)$. That is for $y = F(x)$ with $x_n = 0$ it holds $y_n = 0$ and $\widetilde{a_{in}}(y) = 0$ for $1 \leq i < n$, where $\widetilde{a_{in}}$ denote the crossterms of L_2.

Proof. Denote by $x^{(n-1)}$ the first $(n-1)$ coordinates of a point x. For $1 \leq i < n$ define

$$g_i : \Omega_1 \to \mathbb{R},\ g_i(x) = g_i(x^{(n-1)}) = -\frac{a_{in}(x^{(n-1)}, 0)}{a_{nn}(x^{(n-1)}, 0)}$$

g_i is a $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_1})$-function because the coefficients are assumed to be in $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_1^+})$ and since L_1 is uniformly elliptic $a_{nn} > 0$. Define $g : \Omega_1 \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ by $(g(x))_i = g_i(x)$. Define

$$F : \Omega_1 \to \mathbb{R}^n,\ F(x) = (x^{(n-1)} + g(x)x_n, x_n)$$

Denote by $\Omega_2 = F(\Omega_1)$.

$$(DF)(x) = \begin{pmatrix} Id + (Dg(x))x_n & g(x) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } x \ \in \Omega_1$$

Now for $x = 0$, it holds $Det(DF^1)(0) = 1$. By the Inverse-Function theorem 4.21 F is a local $C^{2,\alpha}$-diffeomorphism in a neighborhood of 0. Hence there exists a $R' > 0$ with $R' \leq R$ such that $F^1 := F|_{B_{R'}(0)}$ is a diffeomorphism. Now substitute R by R' and denote by $\Omega_1 = B_R(0)$,$\Omega_2 = F^1(\Omega_1)$. In order to calculate the coefficients of the transformed differential operator L_2 we have to write the partial derivatives in the original variable x in term of derivatives in the new variable y. By the chain rule we have $\nabla_x = \nabla_y(DF^1)$ in the sense that $(\nabla_x u_1)(x_0) = (\nabla_y u_2)(y_0)(DF^1)(x_0)$ for $u_2 \in C^2(\Omega_2)$, $u_1 = u_2 \circ F^1$. For convenience we use this notation in the following, i.e. we identify the transformed differential operator and the differential operator itself.

$$\partial_{x_i} = \partial_{y_i} + x_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (Dg)_{ji} \partial_{y_j}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x_n} &= \partial_{y_n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_j(x^{(n-1)})\partial_{y_j} \\
\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j} &= (\partial_{y_i} + x_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (Dg)_{ji}\partial_{y_j})(\partial_{y_j} + x_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (Dg)_{kj}\partial_{y_k}) \\
\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_n} &= (\partial_{y_i} + x_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (Dg)_{ji}\partial_{y_j})(\partial_{y_n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_j(x^{(n-1)})\partial_{y_j}) \\
&= \partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_n} + x_n(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (Dg)_{ji}\partial_{y_j}\partial_{y_n} + P_{(n-1)}) \\
\partial_{x_n}\partial_{x_n} &= (\partial_{y_n} + g^\top(\nabla_y^{(n-1)})^\top)(\partial_{y_n} + g^\top(\nabla_y^{(n-1)})^\top) \\
&= \partial_{y_n}\partial_{y_n} + 2g^\top(\partial_{y_n}\nabla_y^{(n-1)})^\top + P_{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

where $P_{(n-1)}$ denotes (different) terms only depending on partial derivatives of first or second order w.r.t to the coordinates 1 to $n-1$. For x_0 with $x_n = 0$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_n} &= \partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_n} + P_{(n-1)} \\
\partial_{x_n}\partial_{x_n} &= \partial_{y_n}\partial_{y_n} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_i(x_0)\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_n}
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging this in the definition of L_1:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 &= P_{(n-1)} + 2a_{in}\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_n} + a_{nn}\partial_{x_n}\partial_{x_n} \\
&= P_{(n-1)} + 2a_{in}(\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_n} + P_{(n-1)}) + a_{nn}(\partial_{y_n}\partial_{y_n} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_i(x_0)\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_n}) \\
&= P_{(n-1)} + 2(a_{in} + a_{nn}g_i(x_0))\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_n} + a_{nn}\partial_{y_n}\partial_{y_n}
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of g we have for every $x_0 \in B_R^0(0)$:

$$a_{in}(x_0) + a_{nn}g_i(x_0) = (a_{in} + a_{nn}(-\frac{a_{in}}{a_{nn}}))(x_0) = 0$$

Thus:

$$\widetilde{a_{in}}(y_0) = a_{in}(x_0) + g_i(x_0)a_{nn}(x_0) = 0$$

□

Lemma 3.5 (Construction of the reflection function) Let $R > 0$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a > 0$. Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ and a twice differentiable function $F_{a,b}^{(2)} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which maps the interval $(-\delta, 0]$ to $[0, R)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{a,b}^{(2)}(0) &= 0 \\
(F_{a,b}^{(2)})'(0) &= -1 \qquad (3.3) \\
(F_{a,b}^{(2)})''(0) &= 2\frac{b}{a}
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore the largest possible δ depends montone increasing on a and decreasing on b. Denote by $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ the function $F(a, b, x) = F_{a,b}^{(2)}(x)$. Then for parameters a,b from subsets $A = [\lambda, \infty)$, $B = [-\Lambda, \Lambda]$, $\lambda > 0, 0 < \Lambda < \infty$ there exists a common $\delta > 0$ such that $F(A \times B \times (-\delta, 0]) \subset [0, R)$. And the function $F(a, b, x) = F_{a,b}(x)$ depends C^∞-smooth on a,b for $a > 0$.

Proof. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ define

$$F^{(2)}_{a,b}(s) := -(s - \frac{b}{a}s^2)$$

If $b \neq 0$ then $F^{(2)}_{a,b}(s) = \frac{b}{a}s(s - \frac{a}{b})$, if $b = 0$ then $F^{(2)}_{a,b}(s) = -s$.
Obviously 3.3 is fulfilled and for $a \neq 0$ the function is C^∞-smooth in the coefficients. If $b = 0$ then $F^{(2)}_{a,b}$ is a linear function and maps $(-R, 0]$ to $[0, R)$. If $b < 0$ then the function is positive between the negative zero $\frac{a}{b}$ and 0. If $b > 0$ then the function is positive for all negative s. The choice of δ depends therefore on the negative zero of $F^{(2)}_{a,b}(s)$ if $b < 0$ and on the negative zero of $F^{(2)}_{a,b}(s) - R$ if $b > 0$. The second zero of $F^{(2)}_{a,b}$ is given by $s_2 = \frac{a}{b}$. If $b < 0$ then $F^{(2)}_{a,b}(s) \geq 0$ for $s \in [s_2, 0]$ and $F^{(2)}_{a,b}(s) < -s$ for $s < 0$.
Hence for $\delta < \min\{|\frac{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{b}}|, \mathrm{R}\}$ it holds $F^{(2)}_{a,b}((-\delta, 0]) \subset [0, R)$.

If $b \geq 0$ then $F^{(2)}_{a,b}(s) > 0$ for all $s < 0$. Let s_R be the negative zero of the function $G(a, b, s, R) = F(a, b, s) - R$, then for $\delta < |s_R|$ it holds $F^{(2)}_{a,b}((-\delta, 0]) \subset [0, R)$. Using the implicit function theorem one can see, that the zero $s_R = s_R(a, b)$ depends differentiable on a and b and that $\partial_a s_R < 0$ and $\partial_b s_R > 0$ for $a \in A$,$b \in B$. Thus $|s_2|$ gets larger if a gets larger or if b gets smaller. If the negative zero gets smaller than the possible choice for δ gets larger. Thus, for $a \in [\lambda, \infty)$, $b \in [-\Lambda, \Lambda]$ it holds $\delta(a, b) \geq \delta(\lambda, \Lambda) =: \delta_0$ and thus

$$F(\{a\} \times \{b\} \times (-\delta_0, 0]) \subset F(\{a\} \times \{b\} \times (-\delta(a, b), 0]) \subset [0, R)$$

and

$$F(A \times B \times (-\delta, 0]) \subset [0, R)$$

□

Lemma 3.6 (Extension operator in 1 dimension) Let $a > 0$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a continuous linear extension operator E from the Banach space

$$X_1 := \{u \in C^{2,\alpha}_c(\mathbb{R}^+_0) \mid a\partial_s\partial_s u(0) + b\partial_s u(0) = u(0) = 0 \text{ ,supp}(u) \subset [0, C)\text{for some } C > 0\}$$

into $C^{2,\alpha}_c(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|Eu\|_{C^0_c(\mathbb{R})} = \|u\|_{C^0_c(R^+)}$ and $\text{supp}(Eu) \subset [-\delta, \infty)$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Proof. Let $F = F^{(2)}_{a,b}$, $\delta = \delta(a, b)$ as in 3.5. Define

$$(Eu)(s) = \begin{cases} -u(F(s)) & -\delta < s < 0 \\ u(s) & s \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Since F maps $(-\delta, 0)$ into $\mathbb{R}^+$ the operator is welldefined. Furthermore for $s > 0$ or $s < 0$ the function Eu is a composition of $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth functions and therefore itself $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth for $s \neq 0$. It is left to show $C^{2,\alpha}$-smoothness for $s = 0$. Since $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+_0)$ we have by 4.23 that the one sided derivative $\partial^+_s u(0)$,$\partial^+_s\partial^+_s u(0)$ exist and coincide with the corresponding continuous continuation of the derivative in the interior. Hence the boundary conditions imply:

$$(a\partial^+_s\partial^+_s u + b\partial^+_s u)(0) = 0 \tag{3.4}$$

For $s_0 < 0$:

$$(\partial_s Eu)(s_0) = \partial_s u(F(s_0))(F'(s_0))$$

$$(\partial_s\partial_s Eu)(s_0) = \partial_s\partial_s u(F(s_0))(F'(s_0))^2 + \partial_s u(F(s_0))(2\frac{b}{a})$$

Note that the function F is monoton decreasing near 0 thus a lower derivative turns into an upper derivative:

$$\partial_s^-(Eu)(0) = -\partial_s^-(u\circ F)(0) = -(\partial_s^+u(F(0)))F'(0) \overset{*}{=} \partial_s^+u(0) = \partial_s^+(Eu)(0)$$

the equality $*$ follows by $F(0)=0$, $F'(0)=-1$.

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_s^-\partial_s(Eu)(0) &= -\partial_s^-(\partial_s^-(Eu))(0)\\ &= -\partial_s^-(((\partial_s^+u)\circ F)F')(0)\\ &= -(\partial_s^+\partial_s^+u)(F')^2(0) - ((\partial_s^+u))(2\frac{b}{a})(0)\\ &= -\partial_s^+\partial_s^+u(0) - \partial_s^+u(2\frac{b}{a})(0)\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By 3.4 we have $b\partial_s^+u(0) = -a\partial_s^+\partial_s^+u(0)$ and thus

$$= (-\partial_s^+\partial_s^+u + 2\partial_s^+\partial_s^+u)(0) = \partial_s^+\partial_s^+u(0)$$

Hence $\partial_s^-(Eu)(0) = \partial_s^+(Eu)(0)$ and $\partial_s^-\partial_s^-(Eu)(0) = \partial_s^+\partial_s^+(Eu)(0)$. The second derivative is also in $C^{0,\alpha}((-\delta,\infty))$, since it is Hölder continuous in both subintervals $(-\delta,0]$ and $[0,\infty)$. Thus Eu defines a function in $C^{2,\alpha}((-\delta,0]\cup\mathbb{R}^+))$. Choose now a cutoff η for $\mathbb{R}^+$ and $(-\delta,0]$ and define finally:

$$E_1u = \eta Eu$$

then $E_1 : X_1 \to C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$ and obviously $\|u\|_{C_c^0(\mathbb{R})} = \|u\|_{C_c^0(\mathbb{R}^+)}$. E_1 is a linear operator from the Banach space $(X_1, \|\cdot\|_{C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_0^+)})$ to $(C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})})$ and continuous from $(X_1, \|\cdot\|_{C_0^0(\mathbb{R})})$ to $(C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{C_c^0(\mathbb{R})})$. By 4.10 E_1 is therefore also continuous from $(X_1, \|\cdot\|_{C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_0^+)})$ to $(C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})})$. ☐

Remark 3.7 The $C^{2,\alpha}$-norm of E_1u can be explicitly estimated by the $C^{2,\alpha}$-norm of u, the reflecting function $F_{a,b}^{(2)}$ and the cutoff η.

Theorem 3.8 (Extension operator on straight boundary)
Let $R>0$, $Q=[-R,R]^n$, $Q^{0,+}=[-R,R]^{n-1}\times[0,R]$. Let L be the elliptic differential operator (1.1) with coefficients a_{ij},$b_i \in C^{2,\alpha}(Q^{0,+})$. Assume furthermore, that L has no crossterms, i.e. for $x\in Q$ with $x_n=0$ it holds $a_{in}(x)=0$ for $i\le n$. Denote by λ and Λ the ellipticity constant and the bound of the coefficients respectively. Then there exists a linear (continuous) extension operator E from the space

$$X_1 := \{u \ \in C^{2,\alpha}(Q^{0,+}) \mid u(x) = (Lu)(x) = 0 \textit{ for all } x \textit{ with } x_n = 0\}$$

$$E : X_1 \longrightarrow C^{2,\alpha}(Q)$$

such that $\|Eu\|_{C^0(Q)} = \|u\|_{C^0(Q^{0,+})}$

Proof. Denote by $Q^{(n-1)} = [-R,R]^{n-1}$. For $x=(x^{(n-1)},x_n)\in Q$ define

$$F(x) = F(a_{nn}(x^{(n-1)},0), b_n(x^{(n-1)},0), x_n)$$

where F is the reflecting function from 3.5. Set $\delta := \delta(\lambda,\Lambda)$. Then $\delta>0$ and $\delta\le\delta(a_{nn}(x),b_n(x))$ with $\delta(a,b)$ as in 3.5. Therefore $F(x)$ maps elements from $Q^{(n-1)}\times(-\delta,0]$ into $Q^{(n-1)}\times[0,R)$. Define

$$(Eu)(x) = \begin{cases} -u(x^{(n-1)},F(x)) & -\delta < x_n < 0\\ u(x) & x_n \ge 0\end{cases}$$

As in the 1-dimensional case $\|Eu\|_{C^0(Q)} = \|u\|_{C^0(Q^{0,+})}$. For $x_n > 0$ this function is $C^{2,\alpha}$. For $x_n < 0$ $(Eu) = u \circ F \circ (a_{nn}(x), b_n(x), x)$. Since F is C^∞-smooth and the coefficients are $C^{2,\alpha}$ (Eu) is also $C^{2,\alpha}$. By 4.23 the partial derivatives $(\partial_{x_i} u)(x_0)$, $(\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j} u)(x_0)$ for $x_0 \in Q_0$ and $i, j < n$ exist and furthermore the one sided limits $(\partial^+_{x_n} u)(x_0)$, $(\partial^+_{x_n} u)(x_0)$, $(\partial^+_{x_n}\partial_{x_i} u)(x_0)$,$(\partial_{x_i}\partial^+_{x_n} u)(x_0)$ exist. Since for $x_n = 0$ we have $(Eu)(x_0) = u(x_0)$, Eu is twice continuously differentiable in directions 1 to $(n-1)$. By 3.6 the function Eu is twice continuously differentiable in x_n. Thus for $x_0 \in Q^0$ Eu is differentiable, twice differentiable w.r.t x_n and twice differentiable in the variables x_i for $i < n$. It is left to show the existence of the mixed derivatives $\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_n}$ and $\partial_{x_n}\partial_{x_i}$. Denote by u_{in} $(i < n)$ the continuous extension of $\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_n} u$ to $Q^{0,+}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_n}(Eu)(x_0) &= \partial_{x_i}\partial^+_{x_n}(Eu)(x_0)\\
&= (\partial_{x_i}\partial^+_{x_n} u)(x_0)\\
&= (u_{in})(x_0)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial^-_{x_n}\partial_{x_i}(Eu))(x_0) &= (\partial^-_{x_n}(\partial_{x_i} u + \partial_{x_n} u(\partial_{x_i}\frac{b_n}{a_{nn}})x_n^2) \circ F)(x_0)\\
&= \partial^-_{x_n}((\partial_{x_i} u) \circ F)(x_0)\\
&= -(\partial^+_{x_n}\partial_{x_i} u)(F'(x_0)) = (\partial^+_{x_n}\partial_{x_i} u)(x_0)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence Eu is twice differentiable in $[-R, R]^{(n-1)} \times (-\delta, \infty)$. The second derivatives are also Hölder continuous in $x_n = 0$ since they are Hölder continuous in Q^+ and Q^-. Choose now a cutoff η for $\mathbb{R}^+_0$ and $(-\delta, R]$ and define finally:

$$E_1 u = \eta(x_n) Eu$$

Then $E_1 : X_1 \longrightarrow C^{2,\alpha}(Q)$, analogously to 3.6 one can show using 4.10, that the operator is also continuous from
$(X_1, \|\cdot\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(Q^{0,+})})$ into $(C^{2,\alpha}(Q), \|\cdot\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(Q)})$ ☐

Theorem 3.9 (Extension on whole domain)
Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with $C^{4,\alpha}$-smooth boundary. L the elliptic differential operator (1.1) with coefficients a_{ij},$b_i \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$. The space $\{u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \mid u = 0,\ Lu = 0$ on $\partial\Omega\}$ can be continuously and linearly embedded into $C^{2,\alpha}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|u\|_{C^0_c(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})}$

Proof. The proof works as follows: For each point the boundary can be flatten out locally. For the flat boundary the diffeomorphism for transforming the differential operator (3.4) and the extension operator (3.8) are applied to extend the function locally. The global construction follows using a partition of unity. Now to the details: Let $R > 0$. By definition of $C^{4,\alpha}$-smoothness we find for each point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ a neighborhood U_{x_0} and a diffeomorphism Ψ_{x_0} such that $\Psi_{x_0}(U_{x_0}) = B_R(0)$, $\Psi_{x_0}(U_{x_0} \cap \partial\Omega) \subset B^0_R(0)$, $\Psi_{x_0}(U_{x_0} \cap \Omega) \subset B^+_R(0)$, i.e. points inside Ω have positive component x_n, points on the boundary zero component etc. Now fix some $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ Denote by $L_{1,x_0} = T^{-1}_{\Psi_{x_0}} \circ L \circ T_{\Psi_{x_0}}$ the transformed differential operator acting on $C^{2,\alpha} B^{0,+}_R(0)$. This transformed differential operator has also $C^{2,\alpha}$ smooth first and second order coefficients by the remarks after 3.3. By 3.4 there exists a $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth diffeomorphism $F^1_{x_0}$ on $B_R(0)$ (after a possible substitution by some smaller R'). Then $L_2 = T^{-1}_{F^1_{x_0}} \circ L_{1,x_0} \circ T_{F^1_{x_0}}$ defines a differential operator having no mixed derivatives of second order on $F^1_{x_0}(B^0_R(0))$. Since V_{x_0} is open there exists a cuboid $Q_{x_0} \subset\subset V_{x_0}$. Set $\tilde{U}_{x_0} = \Psi^{-1}_{x_0}(F^1_{x_0})^{-1}(Q_{x_0})$. The sets $(\tilde{U}_x)_{x \in \partial\Omega}$ cover the boundary and by compactness of the boundary there exists a finite number $(\tilde{U}_i)_{1 \le i \le N}$ covering $\partial\Omega$. Denote by Ψ_i,F^1_i,Q_i, the corresponding diffeomorphism and cuboids. Let

1. $F_i = F^1_i \circ \Psi_i : \tilde{U}_i \to Q_i$
2. $T_i = T_{\Psi_i} \circ T_{F^1_i} : C^{s,\sigma}(Q_i) \longrightarrow C^{s,\sigma}(\tilde{U}_i)$ for $s + \sigma \le 2 + \alpha$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \sigma < 1$

3. $L_2^i := T_i^{-1} \circ L \circ T_i \; : C^{2,\alpha}(Q_i^{0,+}) \longrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}(Q_i^{0,+})$

Set

$$X_i = \{u \in C^{2,\alpha}(Q_i^{0,+}) \mid u(z) = L_2^i u(z) = 0 \text{ for } z_n = 0, \;\}$$

Since L_2^i and X_i fulfil the assumptions of 3.8 there exists a continuation operator

$$E_i : X_i \to C^{2,\alpha}(Q_i)$$

with $\|E_i u\|_{C^0 Q_i} = \|u\|_{C^0 Q_i^+}$.
For $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ with $Lu = u = 0$ on the boundary, we have $u_i := T_i^{-1}u \in X_i$, indeed: For $z \in Q^0$ we have $F_i^{-1}(z) \in \partial\Omega$ and thus $(T_i^{-1}u)(z) = u(F_i^{-1}(z)) = 0$ and

$$L_2^i(u_i)(z) = (T_i^{-1} \circ L \circ T_i u_i)(z) = (Lu)(F_i^{-1}(z)) = 0.$$

And the smoothness is immediate by the smoothness of u and the diffeomorphisms. Thus the extension operator E_i can be applied to $T_i^{-1}u$.

Hence we can define a global extension operator in the following way: Choose a $\tilde{U}_0 \subset\subset \Omega$ with $\Omega \subset \tilde{U}_0 \cup \bigcup \tilde{U}_i$ and the corresponding partition of unity $(\Phi_i)_0^N$.

$$E : \{u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \mid u(x) = Lu(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega\} \longrightarrow C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$u \longrightarrow \Phi_0 u + \sum_{i=i}^{N} \Phi_i T_i \circ E_i \circ T_i^{-1} u$$

where Φ_i is meant as the pointwise multiplication operator.
Denote by $\tilde{E}_i = T_i \circ E_i \circ T_i^{-1}$ the local extension operator acting on $\tilde{U}_i$. Since for $x \in \Omega \cap \tilde{U}_i$ it holds $\tilde{E}_i u(x) = u(x)$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \Phi_i = 1$ in Ω we have $Eu = u$ in Ω. Since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} \Phi_i \leq 1 \text{ in } \overline{\Omega}^c$$

and $\Phi_i \geq 0$ and the local extension are contractive we also have:

$$\|u\|_{C_0^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u\|_{C_0^0(\Omega)}$$

Finally $\Phi_i \tilde{E}_i u \in C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ yields that $Eu \in C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
The continuity from $(X_1, \|\cdot\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})})$ to $(C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)})$ follows analougsly to 3.8 using 4.10.

□

Remark 3.10 For $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega)$ the $C^{2,\alpha}$-norm of Eu can be estimated by the $C^{2,\alpha}$-norm of u, of the function $F(a, b, s)$ (3.5), the diffeomorpism F^1 and Ψ applied in 3.9 and the partition of unity.

Theorem 3.11 (Core)
Let Ω be a domain with $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth boundary, L the elliptic differential operator 1.1 with the additional property, that $a_{ij}, b_i \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ (for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$). Then the set $D(L) := \{u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \mid u = 0,\; Lu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ is a core for the generator of the operator semigroup $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$, solving the Cauchy-Dirichlet Problem (1.2), and can be embedded into $C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|u\|_{C_c^0(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})}$

Proof. By 3.2 the closure of $(L, D(L))$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup (T_t). By 3.9 $D(L)$ can be embedded into $C_c^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the required way. □

4 Appendix

For completeness we list here some theorems and lemmata which were used in this thesis

4.1 Tools from elementary Analysis

Lemma 4.1 Cutoff for A and B
Let A, B two subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $A \subset\subset B$, i.e. $dist(A, \partial B) > 0$. Then there exists a function η called *cutoff for A and B* with:

$$\eta = 1 \text{ on } A,\ \operatorname{supp}(\eta) \subset\subset B,\ \eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

One can construct such an function easily by convolution of the indicator function of A with an approximate idenity with sufficiently small support, compare [6],2.18, page 117.

Definition 4.2 Dirac sequence
A sequence of functions $(\varphi_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is called *standard dirac sequence*, if:

1. $\varphi_k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$
2. $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi_k) \subset B_{\frac{1}{k}}(0)$
3. $\varphi_k \geq 0$
4. $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_k = 1$

Lemma 4.3 C^∞-smoothness
Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then:

$$\phi * f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Lemma 4.4 $C^{k,\alpha}$-norm estimate of the convolution
Let Ω be a bounded region, $B \subset\subset \Omega$, φ_k a standard dirac sequence with compact support, $u_k = \varphi_k * u$.
If $u \in C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ then for all k with $\operatorname{dist}(B, \partial\Omega) > \frac{1}{k}$.

$$\|u_k\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(B)} \leq \|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}$$

Proof. First let $u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$. Set $C = \|u\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}$. Then for $x \in B$, we have $x - z \in \Omega$ for all $z \in B_{\frac{1}{k}}(0)$

$$\frac{|u_k(x) - u_k(y)|}{|x-y|^\alpha} = \int_{B_{\frac{1}{k}}} \phi_k(z) \frac{|u_k(x-z) - u_k(y-z)|}{|x-y|^\alpha} dz \overset{*}{\leq} C \int_{B_{\frac{1}{k}}} \phi_k(z) dz = C \tag{4.1}$$

Where $*$ holds since $x - z$, $y - z \in \Omega$ and thus $|u_k(x-z) - u_k(y-z)| \leq C|x-y|^\alpha$
Now if $u \in C^k(\overline{\Omega})$ a simple calculation involving an integration by parts yields:

$$D^s(\varphi_k * u)(x) = (\varphi_k * D^s u)(x) \text{ for all } x \in B$$

for every multiindex with $|s| \leq k$. (See e.g. [3] page 143, Lemma 7.3). Thus:

$$\|D^s u\|_{C^0(B)} \leq \|D^s u\|_{C^0(\Omega)}$$

□

Lemma 4.5 Extension of $C^{k,\alpha}$-smooth boundary function
Let Ω be a region with a $C^{k,\alpha}$-smooth boundary, let $g \in C^{k,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$. Then there exists an $g_1 \in C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ with $g_1 = g$ on $\partial\Omega$.

See [3] page 131, Lemma 6.38

Lemma 4.6 Smoothness of precompact sequences
Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \alpha \le 1$, Ω a domain with $C^{k,\alpha}$-smooth boundary. If for some $l \in N$, $0 \le \beta \le 1$: $l + \beta < k + \alpha$, then the embedding:

$$C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \hookrightarrow C^{l,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$$

is compact. Moreover a bounded sequence $u_n \in C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ has a subsequence converging in C^k-norm and the limit u is again in $C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$.

Proof. The proof of the compactness of the embedding can be found in [3], page 130, Lemma 6.36. Now the second part: Let $u_k \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ a sequence with $\|u_k\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} \le C_\alpha$. By compactness there exists a $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ and a subsequence with $u_{n_l} \overset{l\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} u$. Now for $x, y \in \overline{\Omega}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^\alpha} &\le 2\frac{\|u-u_{k_n}\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})}}{|x-y|^\alpha} + \frac{u_{k_n}(x)-u_{k_n}(y)}{|x-y|^\alpha} g \\ &\le 2\frac{\|u-u_{k_n}\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})}}{|x-y|^\alpha} + C_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

By passing to the limit this gives:

$$\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^\alpha} \le C_\alpha$$

Thus u is also Hölder continous in $\overline{\Omega}$. ☐

Theorem 4.7 (Stone-Weierstrass)
Let K be a compact set. Then $C^\infty(K)$ is dense in $C^0(K)$ w.r.t the sup-norm.

Theorem 4.8 (Density of $C_c^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ functions in $C_0^0(\overline{\Omega})$)
Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain. Then $C_c^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ is dense in $C_0^0(\overline{\Omega})$ w.r.t the sup-norm

Proof. Let $u \in C_0^0(\overline{\Omega})$. Define $\Omega_n = \{x \in \Omega \mid \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \frac{1}{n}\}$. Thus $\Omega = \bigcup \Omega_n$ and $\Omega_n \subset\subset \Omega_{n+1}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ there exists an n such that: $|u(x)| \le \varepsilon$ for $x \notin \Omega_n$. $\overline{\Omega_{n+1}}$ is compact, $u|_{\overline{\Omega_{n+1}}}$ is continous, by 4.7 there exists a $u_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega_{n+1}})$ with

$$\|u - u_\varepsilon\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega_{n+1}})} \le \varepsilon$$

In particular $u_\varepsilon(x) \le 2\varepsilon$ for $x \in \partial\Omega_n$. Choose now a cutoff η for Ω_n and Ω_{n+1}. Define $\widetilde{u_\varepsilon} = \eta u_\varepsilon$. Then $\widetilde{u_\varepsilon} \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\widetilde{u_\varepsilon}(x) \le 2\varepsilon$ for $x \notin \Omega_n$. For $x \in \Omega_n$: $|u(x) - \tilde{u}_\varepsilon(x)| = |u(x) - u_\varepsilon(x)| = \varepsilon$. For $x \notin \Omega_n$: $|u(x) - \tilde{u}_\varepsilon(x)| \le \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon$. Thus $\|u - \tilde{u}_\varepsilon\|_{C^0(\overline{\Omega})} \le 3\varepsilon$. ☐

4.2 Functional analytic tools

Theorem 4.9 (Method of continuity)
Let X_1, X_2 be two Banach spaces. L_0, L_1 linear continous operators from X_1 to X_2. Set $L_t = (1-t)L_0 + tL_1$. Assume there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that:

$$\|L_t x\|_{X_2} \ge c\|x\|_{X_1}$$

for all $x \in X_1$, $t \in [0,1]$. Then L_0 is surjective, iff L_t is surjective for all $t \in [0,1]$.

The proof can be found in [3] p.70 Theorem 5.2 (Method of continuity).

Theorem 4.10 (Continuity of a linear Operator)
Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$, $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be two Banach spaces. Let τ_1 be a coarser Hausdorff topology of X, τ_2 a coarser Hausdorff topology of Y. (If $U \subset X$ is open w.r.t to τ_1 then U is also open w.r.t $\|\cdot\|_X$.) Assume that $T : (X, \tau_1) \to (Y, \tau_2)$ is a continous linear operator. Then $T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X) \to (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ is also continous.

Proof. We show, that the graph $\Gamma(T)$ is closed as a subspace of $(X, \|\cdot\|_X) \times (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$. Let $(u_n)_n$ a sequence in X with $(u_n) \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} u$ w.r.t $\|\cdot\|_X$ and $(Lu_n) \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} v$ w.r.t $\|\cdot\|_Y$. Since τ_1 and τ_2 are coarser we have also:

$$\begin{aligned} (u_n) &\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} u \text{ w.r.t } \tau_1 \\ (Lu_n) &\overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} v \text{ w.r.t } \tau_2 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Since L is continous from (X, τ_1) to (Y, τ_2) we also have:

$$(Lu_n) \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} Lu \text{ w.r.t } \tau_2 \tag{4.3}$$

Since τ_2 is hausdorff (4.2) and (4.3) imply: $Lu = v$. Thus $\Gamma(L)$ is closed and therefore by the Closed-Graph theorem L is continous from $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ to $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$.

□

4.3 Operator semigroup theory

Throughout this subsection X is a Banach space.

Definition 4.11 Strongly continous (contraction) semigroup
A family of operators T_t, $t \in (0, \infty)$ fulfilling:

1. $T_t u \overset{t\to 0}{\longrightarrow} u$ for all $u \in X$
2. $T_{t+s} = T_t T_s$

is called a *strongly continous operator semigroup* (s.c.s).
If $\|T_t\|_{op} \leq 1$ then the family is called a *strongly continous contraction operator semigroup* (s.c.c.s)

Remark 4.12 One can show, that every strongly continous operator semigroup is exponentially bounded, i.e there exists an $\omega < \infty$ with: $\|T_t\|_{op} \leq \exp(\omega t)$

Definition 4.13 Dissipative
A linear operator $(L, D(L))$ on X is called dissipative, if for every $x \in D(L)$ there exists a $x' \in F(x)$ with: $\langle x', Lx \rangle \leq 0$.

Remark 4.14 Note that by Hahn-Banach there exists always an element $x' \in X'$ with $\langle x', x \rangle = \|x'\|_{X'}^2 = \|x\|_X^2$, thus the definition is senseful.

Lemma 4.15 A linear operator $(L, D(L))$ is dissipative, iff for every $\lambda > 0$ and all $x \in D(L)$:

$$\|(\lambda - L)x\| \geq \lambda \|x\|$$

Theorem 4.16 (Hille-Yosida)
A linear operator $(L, D(L))$ is the generator of a strongly continous contraction semigroup, iff it fulfills the following properties:

1. *$D(L)$ is dense in X.*
2. *$(0, \infty) \subset \rho(L)$*
3. *$\|R(\lambda, L)\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda > 0$*

Theorem 4.17 (Lumer-Phillips)
Let $(L, D(L))$ be a densely defined, closed and dissipative operator. If there exists a $\lambda_0 > 0$ with $rg(\lambda_0 - L) = X$ then $rg(\lambda - L) = X$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and $(L, D(L))$ generates a strongly continous contraction semigroup.

Theorem 4.18 (Closure of an essential m-dissipative operator)
Let X be a Banach space, $(L, D(L))$ a densely defined dissipative operator. Then the following holds:

1. *$(L, D(L))$ is closable*
2. *$rg(\lambda - \overline{L}) = \overline{rg(\lambda - L)}$*
3. *If there exists a $\lambda > 0$ such that: $\overline{rg(\lambda - L)} = X$ then the closure $(\overline{L}, D(\overline{L}))$ generates a strongly continous contraction semigroup.*

Theorem 4.19 (Rescaling of a semigroup and generator)
Let $(L, D(L))$ be a densely defined operator. If there exists an $\omega > 0$ and an $\lambda > \omega$ such that the operator $\tilde{L} := L - \omega$ is dissipative and $\overline{rg(\lambda - L)} = X$ then the closure of $(L, D(L))$ generates a strongly continous semigroup (T_t) with $\|T_t\| \leq \exp(\omega t)$.

4.4 Tools from multidimensional Analysis

Lemma 4.20 (Differentiability of the Operator Inversion)
Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ with $Det(A) \neq 0$. Then there exists a neighborhood (w.r.t to the Operator topology) of A such that the mapping

$$Inv : V(A) \to GL(n)$$

$$X \to X^{-1}$$

is holomorphic.

This can be seen using the Neumann-Series for $(A+X) = A(\mathbf{I}+A^{-1}X)$ for $\|X\|_{op}$ sufficiently small.

Theorem 4.21 (Local diffeomorphism theorem)
Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set, $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ a mapping, which is $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth in Ω. Let $x_0 \in \Omega$. If $Det(DF)(x_0) \neq 0$ then there exists a neighborhood U of x_0, V of $F(x_0)$ and a mapping $G : V \to U$ with $F \circ G = \boldsymbol{I}_V$, $G \circ F = \boldsymbol{I}_U$. Moreover the mapping is $C^{2,\alpha}$-smooth in V, i.e. F is a $C^{2,\alpha}$-diffeomorphism.

Proof. By the well-known Inverse-Function theorem (see [5], Theorem 7.3, page 223) there exist a neighborhood U of (x_0), V of $(F(x_0))$ and a $G \in C^1(V)$ such that $F : U \to V$ is bijective, $F \circ G = \mathbf{I}_V$, $G \circ F = \mathbf{I}_U$ and $(DF)(x) \in GL(n)$ for $x \in U$. Hence it is left to show that the first derivatives of G are also differentiable and the second derivatives are Hölder continous. We denote the variables in V by y, and in U by x. We have $F \circ G(y) = y$ for $y \in V$. Thus $\partial_{y_i}(F_j \circ G) = \delta_{ij}$ for all $i \leq n$ using the chain rule and product rule respectively we get for $x \in U$:

$$\partial_{y_i}(F_j \circ G)(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\partial_{x_k} F_j)(G(y))(\partial_{y_i} G_k)(y) = \delta_{ij} \text{ for all } j$$

Thus: $\partial_{y_i} G(y) = ((DF)(x))^{-1} e_i$. F is twice differentiable and the Operator-Inversion is holomorphic, hence the first derivatives of G are also differentiable.

$$\begin{aligned}(4.4)\quad \partial_{y_{i_1}}\partial_{y_{i_2}}(F_j \circ G)(y) &= \partial_{y_{i_1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\partial_{x_k} F_j)(G(y))(\partial_{y_{i_2}} G_k)(y) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\partial_{x_k} F_j)(G(y))(\partial_{y_{i_1}}\partial_{y_{i_2}} G_k)(y) + \sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{k_1=1}^{n} (\partial_{x_{k_1}}\partial_{x_k} F_j)(G(y))(\partial_{y_{i_1}} G_k)(y)(\partial_{y_{i_2}} G_{k_1})(y) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

With $(DF)_{jk} = \partial_{x_k} F_j$

$$(DF)(G(y))(\partial_{y_{i_1}}\partial_{y_{i_2}} G)(y) = -\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{k_1=1}^{n} (\partial_{x_{k_1}}\partial_{x_k} F)(G(y))(\partial_{y_{i_1}} G_k)(y)(\partial_{y_{i_2}} G_{k_1})(y)$$

thus:

$$(\partial_{y_{i_1}}\partial_{y_{i_2}}G)(y) = ((DF)(G(y)))^{-1}(-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{k_1=1}^{n}(\partial_{x_{k_1}}\partial_{x_k}F)(G(y))(\partial_{y_{i_1}}G_k)(y)(\partial_{y_{i_2}}G_{k_1})(y))$$

The terms $(\partial_{x_{k_1}}\partial_{x_k}F)(G(y))(\partial_{y_{i_1}}G_k)(y)(\partial_{y_{i_2}}G_{k_1})(y)$ are Hölder continous since $F \in C^{2,\alpha}$ and $\partial_{y_i}G_k$ are continously differentiable. Thus the right hand side of (4.4) is Hölder continous. ☐

Remark 4.22 One can easily see, that this procedure can be applied to higher derivatives for sufficiently smooth F. Thus if F is $C^{k,\alpha}$ then G is also $C^{k,\alpha}$-smooth.

Theorem 4.23 (Differentiability along the boundary and one sided limit)
Let $R > 0$, $Q = (-R,R)^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cuboid. Let $u \in C^1(Q^{0,+})$, denote by u_i the continous extension of the partial derivative $\partial_{x_i}u$ for $i \leq n$, and u_{ij} the continous extension of the second partial derivative $i,j \leq n$. then for $x_0 \in Q^0$ we have:

1. *For $i \neq n$ u is differentiable in the i-th coordinate and $(\partial_{x_i}u)(x_0) = u_i(x_0)$*
2. *The one sided limit $\partial^+_{x_n}u(x_0)$ exists and $\partial^+_{x_n}u(x_0) = u_n(x_0)$*

If $u \in C^2(Q^{0,+})$, denote by u_{ij} the continous extension of the second partial derivative $i,j \leq n$, then for $i,j \neq n$:

1. *The second partial derivative in directions i,j exist and $(\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j}u)(x_0) = u_{ij}(x_0)$.*
2. *$\partial^+_{x_n}u$ is differentiable in direction i and $\partial_{x_i}\partial^+_{x_n}u(x_0) = u_{in}(x_0)$*
3. *$\partial^+_{x_n}\partial_{x_i}u(x_0)$ exists and $\partial^+_{x_n}\partial_{x_i}u(x_0) = u_{in}(x_0)$*

in particular we have $\partial_{x_i}\partial^+_{x_n}u(x_0) = \partial^+_{x_n}\partial_{x_i}u(x_0)$

Proof. Denote by e_n the n-th unit vector, u_n the continous extension of the interior derivative to the boundary. By virtue of the mean value theorem there exists $h' \in (0,h)$ such that:

$$\frac{u(x_0 + he_n) - u(x_0)}{h} \overset{MVT}{=} \partial_{x_n}u(x_0 + h'e_n) = u_n(x_0 + h'e_n)$$

For $h \to 0$ we have that $h' \to 0$ and thus since u_n is continous in $Q^{0,+}$:

$$\partial^+_{x_n}u(x_0) = \lim_{h\to 0} u_n(x_0 + h'e_n) = u_n(x_0)$$

Now let $i \neq n$: For h small enough such that $x_0 + he_i$ and $x_0 - he_i$ are contained in Q^0 we have for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}&u(x_0 + he_i) - u(x_0)\\ &= u(x_0 + he_i) - u(x_0 + he_i + \varepsilon e_n) + u(x_0 + he_i + \varepsilon e_n) - u(x_0 + \varepsilon e_n) - u(x_0 + \varepsilon e_n) - u(x_0)\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of the mean value theorem there exists $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in (0,\varepsilon), h' \in (0,h)$:

$$= \quad \partial_{x_n}u(x_0 + he_i + \varepsilon_1 e_n)\varepsilon + \partial_{x_i}u(x_0 + h'e_i + \varepsilon e_n)h + \partial_{x_n}u(x_0 + \varepsilon_2 e_n)\varepsilon$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}&|\frac{u(x_0 + he_i) - u(x_0)}{h} - u_i(x_0)| \leq \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\sup(|\frac{u_n(x_0 + he_i + \varepsilon_1 e_n) + u_n(x_0 + \varepsilon_2 e_n)}{h}\varepsilon| +\\ &\qquad |u_i(x_0 + h'e_i + \varepsilon e_n) - u_i(x_0)|)\end{aligned}$$

Since u_n is continous in $Q^{0,+}$, u_n is bounded and therefore the first term of the right hand side converges to 0. Since u_i is uniform continous we have:

$$\leq \sup_{h' \in (0,h)} |u_i(x_0 + h'e_i) - u_i(x_0)|$$

which tends to zero for $h \to 0$.

Hence:

$$\partial_{x_i} u(x_0) = \lim_{|h| \to 0} \frac{u(x_0 + he_i) - u(x_0)}{h} = u_i(x_0)$$

The statements for the second derivative follow by applying 4.23.1 to u_i and u_n respectively, the third statement follows by applying 4.23.2 to u_i. ☐

5 List of symbols

1. $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}$ the set of natural numbers, the set of real numbers
2. $C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)$ the space of k-times continously differentiable functions on a domain Ω
3. $C_c^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)$ the subspace of $C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)$ of functions with compact support in Ω (Ω a domain)
4. $C_0^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)$ the subspace of $C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)$ of functions, which are zero on the boundary of Ω (Ω a domain)
5. $C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ the space of all $C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega)$-functions such that the derivatives admit a continous extension to the boundary and the second derivatives admit a hoelder continous extension.
6. $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the spaces of continous functions vanishing at infinity.
7. $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of p-integrable measurable functions.
8. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the dual pairing in Banach spaces
9. $F(u)$ the set of normalized tangent functionals
10. $\bullet$ the euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^n$
11. H(u) the Hessian matrix of a function u, $(H(u))_{i,j} = \partial_i \partial_j u$
12. (DF) the Jacobi matrix of a differentiable map $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $(DF)_{jk} = \partial_k F_j$
13. $GL(n)$ the group of all invertible $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$-matrices
14. e_i the i-th unit vector
15. $f * g$ the convolution of a $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$-function f and a $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$-function g, i.e. $(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x - y)g(y)dy$
16. $A \subset\subset B$ for B compact, A is compact contained in B, i.e. $\overline{A} \subset B$
17. $M^+ = M \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+)$, for $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
18. $M^- = M \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^-)$
19. $M^0 = M \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times 0)$
20. $M^{0,+} = M \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_0^+)$
21. u^+,u^- the positive and negative part of a function respectively.

Acknowledgement:
The author would like to thank:

- Florian Conrad for uncountable helpful and interesting discussions and for the essential ideas for the extension operator
- Supervisor Prof. Dr. M. Grothaus for his kind support and patience
- All other members of the Functional Analysis group
- Prof. Dr. von Weizsäcker for interesting discussions
- Benedikt Heinrich for reviewing this thesis
- Paul Taylor for the package for drawing commutative diagramms
- Eduard Helly for the Theorem of Hahn-Banach

References

[1] [EN] Engel K.-J., Nagel R., One Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations. Springer. 2000.

[2] [Pazy] Pazy A., Semigroups for partial differential equations. Springer 1983.

[3] [GilTru] Gilbarg D., Trudinger N.S., Elliptic PDE of second order. Springer 1977.

[4] [BGS1] Butko Ya., Grothaus M., Smolyanov O.G., Feynman Formula for a Class of Second-Order Parabolic Equations in a Bounded Domain

[5] [AmEsch] Amann H., Escher J., Analysis II. Birkhäuser Basel 2006.

[6] [Alt] Alt H. W., Lineare Funktionalanalysis. Springer 2006.

[7] [Azzam] Azzam, On differentiability properties of solutions of elliptic differential equations. Journal of M. Ana. and Appl. 1980

[8] [Jost] Jost J., Partial Differential Equations, Springer 2007

[9] Lieberman G.M., Nonsmooth Solutions of Elliptic Equations in nonsmooth domains. 2006

[10] Faierman , Regularity of Solutions of Elliptic Boundary Value Problems. 1986

[11] [Dauge] Dauge Monique, Elliptic BVP in corner domains. Springer 1988

[12] [KoenigAnalysis] Königsberger, Analysis 1. Springer 2004.